2018 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL

LCV SCORECARD

Our Earth Is Worth Fighting For

SECOND SESSION OF THE 115TH CONGRESS

SCORECARD.LCV.ORG

LCV BOARD OF DIRECTORS *

JOHN H. ADAMS
Natural Resources Defense Council

CRISTÓBAL ALEX
Latino Victory Project

BRENT BLACKWELDER, HONORARY
Friends of the Earth

THE HONORABLE SHERWOOD L. BOEHLERT, VICE CHAIR
The Accord Group

THE HONORABLE CAROL BROWNER, CHAIR
Former EPA Administrator

BRENDON CECHOVIC
Western Conservation Foundation

CARRIE CLARK
North Carolina League of Conservation Voters

ELAINE FRENCH
John and Elaine French Family Foundation

WADE GREENE, HONORARY
Rockefeller Family & Associates

STEVE HOLTZMAN
Boies Schiller Flexner LLP

RAMPA R. HORMEL, HONORARY
Enlyst Fund

JOHN HUNTING, HONORARY
John Hunting & Associates

MICHAEL KIESCHNICK
Green Advocacy Project

ROGER KIM
Democracy Alliance

MARK MAGAÑA
GreenLatinos

WINSOME MCINTOSH, HONORARY
The McIntosh Foundation

MOLLY MCUSIC
Wyss Foundation

WILLIAM H. MEADOWS III
The Wilderness Society

REUBEN MUNGER
Vision Ridge Partners, LLC

SCOTT NATHAN
Center for American Progress

BILL ROBERTS
Corridor Partners

LARRY ROCKEFELLER
American Conservation Association

THEODORE ROOSEVELT IV, HONORARY CHAIR
Barclays Capital

KERRY SCHUMANN
Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

LAURA TURNER SEYDEL
Turner Foundation

TRIP VAN NOPPEN
Earthjustice

KATHLEEN WELCH
Corridor Partners

ANTHA WILLIAMS
Bloomberg Philanthropies

REVEREND LENNOX YEARWOOD, JR.
Hip Hop Caucus

LCV ISSUES & ACCOUNTABILITY COMMITTEE *

BRENT BLACKWELDER
Friends of the Earth

THE HONORABLE CAROL BROWNER
Former EPA Administrator

RUTH HENNIG
The John Merck Fund

SUNITA LEEDS
Enfranchisement Foundation

MARK MAGAÑA
GreenLatinos

REUBEN MUNGER
Vision Ridge Partners, LLC

KERRY SCHUMANN
Wisconsin League of Conservation Voters

TRIP VAN NOPPEN
Earthjustice

LCV SCORECARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE *

CAROL ANDRESS
Environmental Defense Fund

KRISTEN BRENGEL
National Parks Conservation Association

ANA UNRUH COHEN
Natural Resources Defense Council

ALISON CASSADY
Center for American Progress

ROBERT COWIN
Union of Concerned Scientists

LAURA DANIEL DAVIS
National Wildlife Federation

ROBERT DEWEY
Defenders of Wildlife

MARTY HAYDEN
Earthjustice

CRAIG LASHER
Population Action International

JESSICA LOYA
GreenLatinos

DREW MCCONVILLE
The Wilderness Society

KRISTEN MILLER
Alaska Wilderness League

KATIE MURTHA
Environment America

MELINDA PIERCE
Sierra Club

LUKAS ROSS
Friends of the Earth

ERIK SCHNEIDER
National Audubon Society

* Organizations are shown for identification purposes only

CONTENTS

1. ANALYSIS

Overview of the 2nd Session of the 115th Congress 2

Voting Summary 4

2. SENATE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 7

Senate Votes 12

3. HOUSE SCORES

Vote Descriptions 18

House Votes 30

The nonprofit League of Conservation Voters (LCV) has published a *National Environmental Scorecard* every Congress since 1970, the year it was founded by leaders of the environmental movement following the first Earth Day. LCV believes our earth is worth fighting for because everyone has a right to clean air, water, lands and a safe, healthy community.

This edition of the *National Environmental Scorecard* provides objective, factual information about the most important environmental legislation considered and the corresponding voting records of all members of the second session of the 115th Congress. This *Scorecard* represents the consensus of experts from about 20 respected environmental and conservation organizations who selected the key votes on which members of Congress should be scored. LCV scores votes on the most important issues of the year, including energy, climate change, public health, public lands and wildlife conservation, and spending for environmental programs. The votes included in this *Scorecard* presented members of Congress with a real choice and help distinguish which legislators are working for environmental protection. Except in rare circumstances, the *Scorecard* excludes consensus action on the environment and issues on which no recorded votes occurred.

Dedicated environmentalists and national leaders volunteered their time to identify and research crucial votes. We extend special thanks to our Board of Directors, Issues & Accountability Committee, and Scorecard Advisory Committee for their valuable input.

2018 OVERVIEW

We are thrilled that the 2018 *National Environmental Scorecard* is the last of an eight-year reign by the most anti-environmental U.S. House of Representatives in history. The tectonic shift to a pro-environment majority comes not a moment too soon, as the Trump administration has continued its unrelenting assault on our air, water, lands and wildlife—all to benefit its corporate polluter allies. The attacks on the environment and public health from the Trump administration and the House of Representatives throughout 2018 were all the more egregious in light of the record-breaking climate-change-fueled extreme weather our nation experienced—from the deadliest wildfire in California's history to more intense hurricanes along the east coast—in the fourth hottest year on record, surpassed only by 2015, 2016, and 2017. It's clear that climate change is having devastating impacts on people across the country, particularly those from low-income and communities of color.

The 2018 *National Environmental Scorecard* details how the extreme leadership in the House of Representatives failed to protect our environment and public health or combat the climate crisis. Instead, as the votes show, they continued to serve as a rubber stamp on the Trump administration's attacks and once again pushed their own breathtakingly anti-environmental agenda. The 35 scored votes chronicle the breadth and depth of the chamber's destructive efforts from allowing more toxic pollution into our air to removing protections for endangered species to slashing clean energy funding.

The good news is that, by and large, this legislation was dead on arrival in the U.S. Senate. Thanks to Senate Minority Leader Chuck Schumer (D-NY) and the "Green Firewall" of defense, the overwhelming majority of the House's harmful legislative proposals didn't even come up for a vote. The Senate's 14 scored votes were instead predominately efforts to confirm President Trump's radical nominees to the federal judiciary and the executive branch. It is clear that in the absence of meaningful legislative action, one of the most damaging legacies of Trump's presidency will be reshaping the federal judiciary with his nomination of extreme and partisan candidates for lifetime appointments. In particular, the Supreme Court wields immense power over the interpretation of our bedrock environmental protections. Brett Kavanaugh's extensive anti-environmental record, coupled with the credible allegations of sexual assault against him, led us to take the rare step of scoring two separate floor votes on the same matter—Kavanaugh's confirmation to the Supreme Court. In positive news, two extreme judicial picks—Ryan Bounds and Thomas Farr—failed to proceed after Senator Tim Scott (R-SC) joined Democrats in opposing their nominations based on their troubling records.

The *Scorecard* also includes votes on several extreme nominees to serve in the Trump administration, a continuation of a trend from the 2017 *Scorecard*, which featured votes on a slew of nominees

who went on to do tremendous damage after taking up their posts. Fortunately, public pressure and opposition to the horrendous policies and scandals that engulfed EPA Administrator Scott Pruitt and Interior Secretary Ryan Zinke helped push them out of the Trump administration.

The year concluded with Trump's zealous refusal to sign a government funding bill that didn't include money to build his racist and anti-environmental wall along our southern border. Across both chambers, we scored several votes seeking to build the wall and promote other harmful anti-immigrant policies. Trump's obsession with this xenophobic border wall caused a 35-day government shutdown—the longest in U.S. history—that shuttered or exposed America's majestic national parks to harm, furloughed EPA workers who protect our communities from pollution, and forced hundreds of thousands of federal workers to go without pay.

Despite the many attacks on the environment and public health from the House and the Trump administration, there were a few instances of bipartisan cooperation, largely thanks to leadership from the Senate. This included the passage into law of a pro-conservation Farm Bill and a Water Resources Development Act that takes important steps forward on water infrastructure as well as a bipartisan agreement in the Senate to avoid new anti-environmental and other harmful policy riders in appropriations bills.

Overall, the 2018 scores reveal fairly stark polarization between the parties. Seven Republican senators received a score of zero percent in 2018, meaning they voted against the environment and public health at every opportunity. In sharp contrast, 35 Democratic senators and one independent senator earned a perfect score of 100 percent. In the Senate, Democrats, and the independents who caucus with them, averaged 95 percent, while Republicans averaged eight percent. On the House side, 77 Republicans earned a zero percent and 29 Democrats earned a perfect score of 100 percent. The House Democratic caucus averaged 90 percent, whereas the House Republican caucus averaged eight percent.

As we begin 2019, we couldn't be more excited to work with the new, pro-environment House majority to hold the Trump administration accountable and make real progress in the 116th Congress. Under the strong, proven leadership of Speaker Pelosi, this exciting new majority looks more like the country as a whole, campaigned on a message of action on climate, healthy communities and protection of public lands, and now clearly has a mandate to stop the corporate polluters' agenda in its tracks. While we anticipate that the Trump administration and the Republican leadership in the Senate will prevent major pro-environment legislation from becoming law, there are opportunities to make significant progress in states across the country this year. LCV and our state LCV partners are more determined than ever to maximize that progress in the months and years to come. This change is coming when we need it most—because the stakes have never been higher for our environment, our health, and especially our climate.

2018 STATE AVERAGES

STATE	SENATE	HOUSE
Alabama	43	14
Alaska	11	6
Arizona	4	42
Arkansas	4	4
California	96	68
Colorado	54	40
Connecticut	100	93
Delaware	100	94
Florida	36	48
Georgia	7	26
Hawaii	100	70
Idaho	7	10
Illinois	93	60
Indiana	39	23
Iowa	4	27
Kansas	11	2
Kentucky	11	19
Louisiana	7	9
Maine	57	54
Maryland	100	77
Massachusetts	100	90
Michigan	100	42
Minnesota	100	40
Mississippi	5	22
Missouri	43	24
Montana	54	3
Nebraska	11	10
Nevada	54	74
New Hampshire	96	89
New Jersey	100	70
New Mexico	96	63
New York	100	72
North Carolina	11	24
North Dakota	29	3
Ohio	54	26
Oklahoma	11	4
Oregon	100	72
Pennsylvania	46	45
Rhode Island	100	97
South Carolina	7	20
South Dakota	11	3
Tennessee	7	23
Texas	11	28
Utah	7	6
Vermont	100	94
Virginia	100	36
Washington	100	61
West Virginia	25	7
Wisconsin	50	42
Wyoming	7	0

SENATE



HOUSE



2018 HIGH AND LOW SCORES

SENATE

HIGHEST DELEGATIONS

- Connecticut **100%**
- Delaware **100%**
- Hawaii **100%**
- Maryland **100%**
- Massachusetts **100%**
- Michigan **100%**
- Minnesota **100%**
- New Jersey **100%**
- New York **100%**
- Oregon **100%**
- Rhode Island **100%**
- Vermont **100%**
- Virginia **100%**
- Washington **100%**

LOWEST DELEGATIONS

- Arizona **4%**
- Arkansas **4%**
- Iowa **4%**
- Mississippi **5%**
- Georgia **7%**
- Idaho **7%**
- Louisiana **7%**
- South Carolina **7%**
- Tennessee **7%**
- Utah **7%**
- Wyoming **7%**

SENATE SCORES OF 100

CALIFORNIA Harris

COLORADO Bennet

CONNECTICUT Blumenthal · Murphy

DELAWARE Carper · Coons

HAWAII Hirono · Schatz

ILLINOIS Durbin

MARYLAND Cardin · Van Hollen

MASSACHUSETTS Markey · Warren

MICHIGAN Peters · Stabenow

MINNESOTA Klobuchar · Smith

NEVADA Cortez Masto

NEW HAMPSHIRE Hassan

NEW JERSEY Booker · Menendez

NEW MEXICO Udall

NEW YORK Gillibrand · Schumer

OHIO Brown

OREGON Merkley · Wyden

RHODE ISLAND Reed · Whitehouse

VERMONT Leahy · Sanders

VIRGINIA Kaine · Warner

WASHINGTON Cantwell · Murray

WISCONSIN Baldwin

SENATE SCORES OF 0

ARIZONA Kyl

ARKANSAS Cotton

FLORIDA Rubio

IOWA Grassley

MISSISSIPPI Cochran

PENNSYLVANIA Toomey

WISCONSIN Johnson

HOUSE

HIGHEST DELEGATIONS

- Rhode Island **97%**
- Delaware **94%**
- Vermont **94%**
- Connecticut **93%**
- Massachusetts **90%**
- New Hampshire **89%**

LOWEST DELEGATIONS

- Wyoming **0%**
- Kansas **2%**
- Montana **3%**
- North Dakota **3%**
- South Dakota **3%**
- Arkansas **4%**
- Oklahoma **4%**
- Alaska **6%**
- Utah **6%**
- West Virginia **7%**
- Louisiana **9%**

HOUSE SCORES OF 100

ARIZONA Gallego

CALIFORNIA Thompson · DeSaulnier · Pelosi · Lee, B. · Khanna · Roybal-Allard

FLORIDA Soto · Frankel · Wasserman Schultz

GEORGIA Johnson, H.

ILLINOIS Schakowsky

MASSACHUSETTS McGovern

MICHIGAN Jones

NEW YORK Meeks · Meng · Velázquez · Clarke, Y. · Nadler · Espaillat · Serrano · Engel · Morelle

PENNSYLVANIA Scanlon · Wild

RHODE ISLAND Cicilline

TEXAS Doggett

WASHINGTON Smith, Adam

WISCONSIN Pocan

HOUSE SCORES OF 0

ALABAMA Byrne · Aderholt · Palmer

ARIZONA Lesko

ARKANSAS Westerman

CALIFORNIA Cook · Walters · Hunter

COLORADO Lamborn

FLORIDA Dunn · Yoho · DeSantis · Posey · Webster

GEORGIA Carter, E.L. · Handel · Hice · Loudermilk · Allen · Graves, T.

ILLINOIS LaHood

INDIANA Banks · Rokita · Messer

KANSAS Jenkins · Estes

KENTUCKY Comer · Guthrie · Barr

LOUISIANA Scalise · Higgins, C. · Abraham · Graves, G.

MICHIGAN Huizenga · Walberg

MINNESOTA Lewis, Jason · Emmer

MISSISSIPPI Kelly, T. · Palazzo

MISSOURI Wagner · Luetkemeyer · Hartzler · Graves, S.

NEW MEXICO Pearce

NORTH CAROLINA Walker · Hudson · Pittenger · Meadows

OHIO Wenstrup · Latta · Johnson, B. · Gibbs · Balderson

OKLAHOMA Hern

PENNSYLVANIA Meehan · Dent

SOUTH CAROLINA Duncan, Jeff · Norman · Rice, T.

TENNESSEE Kustoff

TEXAS Poe · Johnson, S. · Ratcliffe · Hensarling · Arrington · Olson · Williams · Burgess · Farenthold · Cloud

UTAH Curtis

VIRGINIA Goodlatte · Brat

WEST VIRGINIA Mooney

WISCONSIN Duffy · Gallagher

WYOMING Cheney

RATING THE LEADERSHIP OF ENVIRONMENTAL COMMITTEES

SENATE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture, Nutrition and Forestry	Roberts (KS)	7	Stabenow (MI)	100
Appropriations	Shelby (AL)	7	Leahy (VT)	100
Commerce, Science and Transportation	Thune (SD)	14	Nelson (FL)	71
Energy and Natural Resources	Murkowski (AK)	14	Cantwell (WA)	100
Environment and Public Works	Barrasso (WY)	7	Carper (DE)	100
SENATE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	**CHAIRS**	**10**	**RANKING MEMBERS**	**94**

HOUSE

COMMITTEE	CHAIR	SCORE	RANKING MEMBER	SCORE
Agriculture	Conaway (TX-11)	3	Peterson (MN-07)	20
Appropriations	Frelinghuysen (NJ-11)	17	Lowey (NY-17)	91
Energy and Commerce	Walden (OR-02)	6	Pallone (NJ-06)	91
Natural Resources	Bishop, Rob (UT-01)	3	Grijalva (AZ-03)	94
Science, Space, and Technology	Smith, Lamar (TX-21)	3	Johnson, Eddie Bernice (TX-30)	94
Transportation and Infrastructure	Shuster (PA-09)	3	DeFazio (OR-04)	97
HOUSE COMMITTEE LEADER AVERAGE	**CHAIRS**	**6**	**RANKING MEMBERS**	**81**

PARTY LEADERS' SCORES

SENATE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
Schumer (NY), Minority Leader	100	McConnell (KY), Majority Leader	14
Durbin (IL), Minority Whip	100	Cornyn (TX), Assistant Republican Leader	7
Murray (WA), Assistant Democratic Leader	100	Thune (SD), Conference Chair	14
Stabenow (MI), Chairwoman of Policy and Communications Committee	100	Blunt (MO), Conference Vice Chair	7
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	100	LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	11

HOUSE

DEMOCRATS	SCORE	REPUBLICANS	SCORE
		Ryan (WI-01), Speaker of the House*	N/A
Pelosi (CA-12), Minority Leader	100	McCarthy, Kevin (CA-23), Majority Leader	3
Hoyer (MD-05), Minority Whip	63	Scalise, Steve (LA-01), Majority Whip	0
Clyburn (SC-06), Assistant Minority Leader	94	McMorris Rodgers (WA-05), Conference Chairman	6
Crowley (NY-14), Caucus Chairman	86	Messer (IN-06), Policy Committee Chairman	0
LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	86	LEADERSHIP AVERAGE	2

*The Speaker of the House votes at his discretion.

2018 SENATE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1. BORDER WALL FUNDING & ANTI-IMMIGRANT POLICY

Senate Judiciary Committee Chairman Charles Grassley (R-IA) offered an amendment to H.R. 2579, which would have funded the environmentally destructive and xenophobic border wall and advanced anti-immigrant policies. The border wall threatens communities living along our southern border, has far ranging negative impacts on our lands, wildlife and waterways, and circumvents bedrock environmental laws. This amendment would spend nearly $25 billion on an ineffective and damaging border wall and military technology, and would waive 36 environmental and cultural laws for construction of the wall and other border enforcement activities on federal lands within 100 miles of both the northern and southern borders. The Grassley amendment also includes policies that would cause significant harm to immigrant families, including gutting family immigration and eliminating the diversity visa lottery program. On February 15, the Senate rejected the Grassley amendment by a vote of 39-60 (Senate roll call vote 36). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

2. WHEELER CONFIRMATION (EPA DEPUTY ADMINISTRATOR)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Andrew Wheeler for deputy administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The mission of the EPA is to protect human health and the environment, but Wheeler has dedicated his career to weakening environmental protections—he served as a lobbyist for numerous fossil fuel clients, including one of our country's biggest polluters, Murray Energy. Wheeler's inherent conflicts of interest, which stem from his long history of ties to the fossil fuel industry, make him an entirely inappropriate choice for the second highest leadership role at the agency. On April 12, the Senate confirmed Wheeler to be deputy administrator of the EPA by a vote of 53-45 (Senate roll call vote 71). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

3. EXPOSING WATERWAYS TO INVASIVE SPECIES

Senate Majority Leader Mitch McConnell (R-KY) offered S. 1129, the Coast Guard Reauthorization Act, as an amendment to S. 140, the Frank LoBiondo Coast Guard Reauthorization Act. This amendment included the Vessel Incidental Discharge Act (VIDA), which would leave our waters more vulnerable to aquatic invasive species by exempting the shipping industry from requirements under the Clean Water Act in favor of a new, weaker regulatory scheme. By shifting the EPA's authority to regulate ballast water to the Coast Guard, VIDA would set a dangerous precedent for transferring authority to agencies that are ill-equipped to handle the responsibility. In addition, VIDA would pre-empt the states' capacity to enact and enforce their own ballast water rules, undermining their ability to protect their waterways from pollution and invasive species. Lastly, VIDA would exempt ships in geographically restricted areas--such as the Great Lakes--from any regulation, further jeopardizing some of our most iconic water bodies. On April 18, the Senate rejected the McConnell amendment by a vote of 56-42 (60 votes were needed for passage; Senate roll call vote 77). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

4. BRIDENSTINE CONFIRMATION (NASA ADMINISTRATOR)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Representative Jim Bridenstine for administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). Bridenstine's extreme views of NASA's mission, his voting record, his many scientifically inaccurate statements, and his lack of qualifications make him an unacceptable choice for NASA administrator. His voting record is at odds with NASA's mission and shows a history of opposition to science-based environmental standards and support for weakening agencies' use of science in decision-making. As recently as 2016, Bridenstine sought to change the mission of NASA by authoring legislation that essentially stripped out Earth Science and Earth Observing Missions. Scientists, researchers, and individuals across the country rely on this data and the continuity of its collection to understand, forecast, and respond to changes in land use, pollutant emissions, atmospheric chemistry, weather and climate, and other phenomena. On April 19, the Senate confirmed Bridenstine to be administrator of NASA by a vote of 50-49 (Senate roll call vote 80). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

5. POMPEO CONFIRMATION (SECRETARY OF STATE)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Representative Mike Pompeo to serve as secretary of state. The secretary of state is tasked with carrying out the president's foreign policy agenda and plays a key role in shaping international climate policy. Pompeo has deep ties to the Koch Brothers and has consistently favored polluting fossil fuel interests. During his time in Congress, Pompeo voted against protecting public health, conserving imperiled species, and advancing renewable energy, earning an abysmal 4 percent lifetime score on LCV's *National Environmental Scorecard*. Pompeo's anti-environmental record, climate science denial, preference for war over diplomacy, and hostility to human rights should be disqualifying. On April 26, the Senate confirmed Pompeo to be secretary of state by a vote of 57-42 (Senate roll call vote 84). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

6. ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL RESCISSION PACKAGE

House Majority Leader Kevin McCarthy (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 3, the Spending Cuts to Expired and Unnecessary Programs Act, also known as the rescission package, which would have cut funding for several programs that protect our environment and public health. H.R. 3 would slash programs that promote needed investments in clean energy and conservation, as well as $16 million from the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Additionally, this package, which the Trump administration proposed, irresponsibly rescinds funding levels agreed upon by Congress, and much of the funding that H.R. 3 would cut has been identified for future use and could continue to support projects and communities across the country. On June 20, the Senate rejected a motion to discharge H.R. 3 by a vote of 48-50 (Senate roll call vote 134). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

7. OLDHAM CONFIRMATION (FIFTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Andrew Oldham to be U.S. circuit court judge for the Fifth Circuit. Circuit courts are often the ultimate arbiters of highly significant cases, including those involving environmental protections, and it is critical that the judges confirmed to serve lifetime appointments on these courts are qualified, non-partisan, and committed to treating parties fairly. As deputy solicitor general for the state of Texas and general counsel to Texas Governor Greg Abbott, Oldham frequently challenged federal environmental protections and efforts to address climate change. In his personal capacity, Oldham has questioned the constitutionality of the EPA, calling it "illegitimate." He has also taken strong stances against immigrant rights and voting rights, supporting challenges to the Voting Rights Act and Deferred Action for Childhood Arrivals (DACA). Oldham's partisan and anti-environment record are disqualifying for a judicial nominee. On July 18, the Senate confirmed Oldham to the Fifth Circuit by a vote of 50-49 (Senate roll call vote 160). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

8. GRANT CONFIRMATION (ELEVENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Britt Grant to be U.S. circuit court judge for the Eleventh Circuit. Circuit courts are often the ultimate arbiters of highly significant cases, including those involving environmental protections, and it is critical that the judges confirmed to serve lifetime appointments on these courts are qualified, non-partisan, and committed to treating parties fairly. As solicitor general for the state of Georgia, Grant repeatedly fought to weaken the Endangered Species Act, one of our nation's most successful conservation programs. She has also sought to weaken the Voting Rights Act, and opposed LGBTQ equality and immigrant rights. Grant's partisan and anti-environment record are disqualifying for a judicial nominee. On July 31, the Senate confirmed Grant to the Eleventh Circuit by a vote of 52-46 (Senate roll call vote 174). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

9. KAVANAUGH CLOTURE VOTE (SUPREME COURT)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Brett Kavanaugh to the U.S. Supreme Court. The Supreme Court holds immense power to protect our right to breathe clean air, drink clean water, and participate equitably in our democracy. Justices on the court have an impact lasting generations, and it is essential that they respect precedent, interpret the law in a fair and well-reasoned manner, and act as an independent check on the president. Kavanaugh's record reflects a concerning preference for corporations and polluters over public health and the environment. In repeated rulings he has shown hostility towards the Environmental Protection Agency, seeking to strike down fundamental protections of our air and water. In addition to his environmental record, the credible sexual assault allegations against Kavanaugh are disqualifying for a nominee to the Supreme Court. On October 5, the Senate invoked cloture on Kavanaugh's nomination by a vote of 51-49 (Senate roll call vote 222). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

10. KAVANAUGH CONFIRMATION (SUPREME COURT)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Brett Kavanaugh to the U.S. Supreme Court. The Supreme Court holds immense power to protect our right to breathe clean air, drink clean water, and participate equitably in our democracy. Justices on the court have an impact lasting generations, and it is essential that they respect precedent, interpret the law in a fair and well-reasoned manner, and act as an independent check on the president. Kavanaugh's record reflects a concerning preference for corporations and polluters over public health and the environment. In repeated rulings he has shown hostility towards the Environmental Protection Agency, seeking to strike down fundamental protections of our air and water. In addition to his environmental record, the credible sexual assault allegations against Kavanaugh are disqualifying for a nominee to the Supreme Court. On October 6, the Senate approved Kavanaugh's nomination by a vote of 50-48 (Senate roll call vote 223). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

11. CLARK CONFIRMATION (ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL, DOJ ENVIRONMENT AND NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Jeffrey Bossert Clark to be assistant attorney general for the Environment and Natural Resources Division (ENRD) of the Department of Justice. The mission of the ENRD is to enforce the nation's environmental laws to ensure clean air, water and land for all people in this country. Clark is an outspoken opponent of environmental and public health protections, and a well-known climate change denier. He has spent his career undermining the protections ENRD is tasked with enforcing, making him unfit to lead the division. On October 10, the Senate confirmed Clark to be assistant attorney general by a vote of 52-45 (Senate roll call vote 228). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

12. NELSON CONFIRMATION (NINTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Ryan Nelson to be U.S. circuit court judge for the Ninth Circuit. Circuit courts are often the ultimate arbiters of highly significant cases and it is critical that the judges confirmed to serve lifetime appointments on these courts are qualified, non-partisan, and committed to treating parties fairly. Moreover, the Ninth Circuit, the largest circuit court covering nearly three-quarters of the United States' public lands, serves a crucial role in deciding some of our nation's most important environmental cases. During his time in the Department of Justice's Environment and Natural Resources Division (ENRD), Nelson oversaw the legal defense of the President George W. Bush administration's efforts to benefit polluters and roll back critical environmental protections. This included helping the government argue against regulating carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases in *Massachusetts v. EPA*. On July 31, the Senate confirmed Nelson to the Ninth Circuit by a vote of 51-44 (Senate roll call vote 232). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

13. MCNAMEE CONFIRMATION (FEDERAL ENERGY REGULATORY COMMISSION)

The Senate considered President Trump's nomination of Bernard McNamee to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC). An independent agency that oversees transactions in the U.S. wholesale power market, FERC has historically been a non-partisan entity that is committed to ensuring just and reasonable energy rates. However, in McNamee's previous role at the Department of Energy, he took steps to bailout the coal industry and weaken renewable energy's growing foothold in the U.S. power sector. As an outspoken climate doubter and renewable energy critic, it is clear McNamee is unable to be a non-partisan, unbiased FERC commissioner. On December 6, the Senate confirmed McNamee to FERC by a vote of 50-49 (Senate roll call vote 254). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

14. FARM BILL CONFERENCE REPORT

Senate Agriculture Committee Chairman Pat Roberts (R-KS) and Ranking Member Debbie Stabenow (D-MI) and led negotiations on the 2018 Farm Bill, officially titled H.R. 2, the Agriculture Improvement Act of 2018. These negotiations resulted in a bipartisan conference report, which was essentially free of environmentally harmful provisions. The conference committee removed a number of anti-environmental attacks that were in the House-passed Farm Bill, including language that would have jeopardized our clean water, cut money from critical conservation programs, eviscerated protections for endangered species, and undercut our bedrock environmental laws. Instead, the final Farm Bill conference report provided new tools to conserve and restore our land, water, and forests, including maintaining funding for conservation programs, new incentives to help farmers improve water quality and soil health, support for clean energy and energy efficiency for small businesses and farmers, expanded opportunities for outdoor recreation, and new funding to encourage growth of the organic sector. On December 11, the Senate passed the Farm Bill conference report 87-13 (Senate roll call vote 259). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed the conference report on December 12 and the president signed the legislation into law on December 20.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES			1 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	2 Wheeler Confirmation (EPA Deputy Administrator)	3 Exposing Waterways to Invasive Species	4 Bridenstine Confirmation (NASA Administrator)	5 Pompeo Confirmation (Secretary of State)	6 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	7 Oldham Confirmation (Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals)	8 Grant Confirmation (Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals)	9 Kavanaugh Cloture Vote (Supreme Court)	10 Kavanaugh Confirmation (Supreme Court)	11 Clark Confirmation (Asst. Att. General, DOJ Environment and Natural Resources Division)	12 Nelson Confirmation (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals)	13 McNamee Confirmation (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)	14 Farm Bill Conference Report
		2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %														
ALABAMA																		
Jones	D	79	N/A	79	✔	✔	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔
Shelby	R	7	3	13	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
ALASKA																		
Murkowski	R	14	6	17	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	?	✖	✖	✖	✖
Sullivan	R	7	3	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
ARIZONA																		
Flake	R	7	3	8	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
Kyl*	R	0	N/A	8	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
McCain*	R	0	N/A	19	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
ARKANSAS																		
Boozman	R	7	3	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Cotton	R	0	0	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
CALIFORNIA																		
Feinstein	D	93	97	90	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔
Harris, K.	D	100	100	100	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
COLORADO																		
Bennet	D	100	91	90	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Gardner	R	7	3	10	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
CONNECTICUT																		
Blumenthal	D	100	100	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Murphy, C.	D	100	97	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
DELAWARE																		
Carper	D	100	97	83	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Coons	D	100	94	93	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

* Senator Kyl was sworn in on September 5, 2018 following the death of Senator McCain on August 25, 2018.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																
		2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	2 Wheeler Confirmation (EPA Deputy Administrator)	3 Exposing Waterways to Invasive Species	4 Bridenstine Confirmation (NASA Administrator)	5 Pompeo Confirmation (Secretary of State)	6 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	7 Oldham Confirmation (Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals)	8 Grant Confirmation (Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals)	9 Kavanaugh Cloture Vote (Supreme Court)	10 Kavanaugh Confirmation (Supreme Court)	11 Clark Confirmation (Asst. Att. General, DOJ Environment and Natural Resources Division)	12 Nelson Confirmation (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals)	13 McNamee Confirmation (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)	14 Farm Bill Conference Report
FLORIDA																		
Nelson*	D	71	85	71	✔	✔	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	?	✔	✔
Rubio	R	0	0	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	?	✖	✖
GEORGIA																		
Isakson	R	7	3	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Perdue	R	7	3	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
HAWAII																		
Hirono	D	100	100	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Schatz	D	100	100	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
IDAHO																		
Crapo	R	7	3	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Risch	R	7	3	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
ILLINOIS																		
Duckworth**	D	86	94	89	✔	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Durbin	D	100	100	88	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
INDIANA																		
Donnelly	D	71	67	60	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Young, T.	R	7	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
IOWA																		
Ernst	R	7	3	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Grassley	R	0	0	18	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
KANSAS																		
Moran	R	14	6	8	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Roberts	R	7	3	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔

* Senator Nelson entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 228 and 232, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Senator Duckworth entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 71, which would have been scored as pro-environment. She missed two votes due to the birth of her daughter.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																
		% 2018	% 115th Congress	% Lifetime	1 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	2 Wheeler Confirmation (EPA Deputy Administrator)	3 Exposing Waterways to Invasive Species	4 Bridenstine Confirmation (NASA Administrator)	5 Pompeo Confirmation (Secretary of State)	6 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	7 Oldham Confirmation (Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals)	8 Grant Confirmation (Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals)	9 Kavanaugh Cloture Vote (Supreme Court)	10 Kavanaugh Confirmation (Supreme Court)	11 Clark Confirmation (Asst. Att. General, DOJ Environment and Natural Resources Division)	12 Nelson Confirmation (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals)	13 McNamee Confirmation (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)	14 Farm Bill Conference Report
KENTUCKY																		
McConnell	R	14	6	7	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Paul	R	7	6	8	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
LOUISIANA																		
Cassidy	R	7	3	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Kennedy, John	R	7	3	3	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
MAINE																		
Collins	R	21	27	61	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
King, A.	I	93	82	90	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
MARYLAND																		
Cardin	D	100	100	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Van Hollen	D	100	100	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
MASSACHUSETTS																		
Markey	D	100	97	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Warren	D	100	100	99	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
MICHIGAN																		
Peters, G.	D	100	100	93	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Stabenow	D	100	97	89	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
MINNESOTA																		
Klobuchar	D	100	100	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Smith	D	100	N/A	100	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
MISSISSIPPI																		
Cochran*	R	0	N/A	9	✖	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
Hyde-Smith**	R	8	N/A	8	ⓘ	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Wicker	R	7	3	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔

* Senator Cochran resigned on April 1, 2018.
** Senator Hyde-Smith was sworn in on April 9, 2018.

SENATE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	2 Wheeler Confirmation (EPA Deputy Administrator)	3 Exposing Waterways to Invasive Species	4 Bridenstine Confirmation (NASA Administrator)	5 Pompeo Confirmation (Secretary of State)	6 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	7 Oldham Confirmation (Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals)	8 Grant Confirmation (Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals)	9 Kavanaugh Cloture Vote (Supreme Court)	10 Kavanaugh Confirmation (Supreme Court)	11 Clark Confirmation (Asst. Att. General, DOJ Environment and Natural Resources Division)	12 Nelson Confirmation (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals)	13 McNamee Confirmation (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)	14 Farm Bill Conference Report
MISSOURI																		
Blunt	R	7	3	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
McCaskill	D	79	79	75	✔	✔	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔
MONTANA																		
Daines	R	14	6	4	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✔
Tester	D	93	88	86	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
NEBRASKA																		
Fischer	R	7	3	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Sasse	R	14	6	3	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
NEVADA																		
Cortez Masto	D	100	94	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Heller	R	7	3	11	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
NEW HAMPSHIRE																		
Hassan	D	100	100	100	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Shaheen	D	93	97	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
NEW JERSEY																		
Booker	D	100	100	99	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Menendez	D	100	94	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
NEW MEXICO																		
Heinrich	D	93	97	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔
Udall	D	100	94	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
NEW YORK																		
Gillibrand	D	100	100	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Schumer	D	100	100	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
NORTH CAROLINA																		
Burr	R	14	6	8	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Tillis	R	7	3	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✔

SENATE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																
		2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	2 Wheeler Confirmation (EPA Deputy Administrator)	3 Exposing Waterways to Invasive Species	4 Bridenstine Confirmation (NASA Administrator)	5 Pompeo Confirmation (Secretary of State)	6 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	7 Oldham Confirmation (Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals)	8 Grant Confirmation (Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals)	9 Kavanaugh Cloture Vote (Supreme Court)	10 Kavanaugh Confirmation (Supreme Court)	11 Clark Confirmation (Asst. Att. General, DOJ Environment and Natural Resources Division)	12 Nelson Confirmation (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals)	13 McNamee Confirmation (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)	14 Farm Bill Conference Report
NORTH DAKOTA																		
Heitkamp	D	50	55	52	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	?	?	✔	✔
Hoeven	R	7	3	8	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
OHIO																		
Brown, S.	D	100	97	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Portman	R	7	3	19	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
OKLAHOMA																		
Inhofe	R	14	6	5	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Lankford	R	7	3	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
OREGON																		
Merkley	D	100	100	99	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Wyden	D	100	97	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
PENNSYLVANIA																		
Casey	D	93	97	92	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Toomey	R	0	0	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
RHODE ISLAND																		
Reed, J.	D	100	100	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Whitehouse	D	100	100	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
SOUTH CAROLINA																		
Graham, L.	R	7	6	12	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Scott, T.	R	7	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
SOUTH DAKOTA																		
Rounds	R	7	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Thune	R	14	6	10	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
TENNESSEE																		
Alexander	R	7	6	20	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Corker	R	7	6	10	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔

SENATE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

		LCV SCORES																
		2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	2 Wheeler Confirmation (EPA Deputy Administrator)	3 Exposing Waterways to Invasive Species	4 Bridenstine Confirmation (NASA Administrator)	5 Pompeo Confirmation (Secretary of State)	6 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	7 Oldham Confirmation (Fifth Circuit Court of Appeals)	8 Grant Confirmation (Eleventh Circuit Court of Appeals)	9 Kavanaugh Cloture Vote (Supreme Court)	10 Kavanaugh Confirmation (Supreme Court)	11 Clark Confirmation (Asst. Att. General, DOJ Environment and Natural Resources Division)	12 Nelson Confirmation (Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals)	13 McNamee Confirmation (Federal Energy Regulatory Commission)	14 Farm Bill Conference Report
TEXAS																		
Cornyn	R	7	3	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Cruz	R	14	6	4	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
UTAH																		
Hatch	R	7	3	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Lee, M.	R	7	3	8	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
VERMONT																		
Leahy	D	100	100	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Sanders	I	100	100	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
VIRGINIA																		
Kaine	D	100	97	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Warner	D	100	88	87	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
WASHINGTON																		
Cantwell	D	100	100	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Murray	D	100	100	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
WEST VIRGINIA																		
Capito	R	7	3	17	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
Manchin	D	43	45	45	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔
WISCONSIN																		
Baldwin	D	100	100	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
Johnson, R.	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
WYOMING																		
Barrasso	R	7	3	8	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
Enzi	R	7	3	5	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖

2018 HOUSE VOTE DESCRIPTIONS

1. DELAYING PUBLIC HEALTH PROTECTIONS

Representative Bill Johnson (R-OH) sponsored H.R. 1917, the Blocking Regulatory Interference from Closing Kilns (BRICK) Act of 2017, which would delay public health protections, in this case limits on deadly toxic pollution—including mercury, arsenic, and chromium—from brick manufacturing facilities. The BRICK Act was modified in the Rules Committee to incorporate H.R. 453, the Relief from New Source Performance Standards Act of 2017. This addition to the underlying bill would delay stronger emissions limits for new wood stoves and boilers, which reduce hazardous and toxic air pollutants—including particulate matter (soot), nitrogen oxides, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and carcinogens like benzene and formaldehyde. On March 7, the House approved H.R. 1917 by a vote of 234-180 (House roll call vote 99). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

2. UNDERMINING CLEAN AIR STANDARDS

Representative Keith Rothfus (R-PA) sponsored H.R. 1119, the Satisfying Energy Needs and Saving the Environment (SENSE) Act, which would permanently exempt power plants that burn coal waste from meeting certain clean air standards, including limits on hydrogen chloride and sulfur dioxide, both of which can cause significant respiratory problems. The courts have already ruled on this matter and found that waste coal-burning power plants are already meeting these air quality standards, and there is no evidence that allowing higher levels of pollutants, which expose our communities to dirtier air, would have any positive impacts. On March 8, the House approved H.R. 1119 by a vote of 215-189 (House roll call vote 101). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

3. ASSAULT ON ENDANGERED SALMON AND ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Representative Cathy McMorris Rodgers (R-WA) sponsored H.R. 3144, a bill to deal with the Federal Columbia River Power System (FCRPS) also known as the "Salmon Extinction Act," which would undermine the Endangered Species Act (ESA) and the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA). H.R. 3144 would mandate the use of FCRPS dams that two U.S. District Court decisions found harmful to endangered salmon and steelhead in the Pacific Northwest. H.R. 3144 would also derail an ongoing environmental review that could help recover the region's salmon and steelhead. On April 25, the House approved H.R. 3144 by a vote of 225-189 (House roll call vote 153). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

4. LOCAL AND TRIBAL GOVERNMENT CONSENT ON NUCLEAR WASTE TRANSPORTATION

Representative Dina Titus (D-NV) offered an amendment to H.R. 3053, the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 2017. This amendment would establish a consent-based siting process for determining a permanent nuclear waste repository. The current process does not provide the affected state or community an opportunity for consent. On May 10, the House rejected the Titus amendment by a vote of 80-332 (House roll call vote 178). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

5. NUCLEAR STORAGE

Representative John Shimkus (R-IL) sponsored H.R. 3053, the Nuclear Waste Policy Amendments Act of 2017, which would put our nation's nuclear waste storage policy on the wrong track, yet again. It contains rollbacks of public health and environmental laws, along with provisions that continue to pre-empt state, local, and tribal objections and supplant scientific and technical decisions. H.R. 3053 attempts to truncate public review in order to force "solutions" to the myriad of problems associated with Yucca Mountain. Rather than charge forward at the cost of public safety and public resources, Congress should instead start an important and necessary consent-based approach to siting for a permanent solution for high-level nuclear waste. On May 10, the House approved H.R. 3053 by a vote of 340-72 (House roll call vote 179). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

6. UNDERMINING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FOR FORESTRY PROJECTS

Representative Bruce Westerman (R-AR) offered an amendment to H.R. 2, the Agriculture and Nutrition Act of 2018, also known as the Farm Bill, which would mandate a "take it or leave it" approach to forest management projects. This approach would preclude agencies from considering reasonable alternatives to logging proposals, and would thus force projects to be approved without any evaluation of less environmentally harmful options. It would also severely limit the ability of federal courts to review agency actions, issue preliminary injunctions, and limit the time injunctions are valid. On May 17, the House approved the Westerman amendment by a vote of 224-191 (House roll call vote 198). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 2, including the Westerman amendment, on June 21. The H.R. 2 conference report did not include this harmful language. The president signed the H.R. 2 conference report into law on December 20.

7. ATTACK ON ALASKA'S NATIONAL FORESTS

Representative Don Young (R-AK) offered an amendment to H.R. 2, the Agriculture and Nutrition Act of 2018, also known as the Farm Bill, which would exempt federal forests in Alaska—including the Tongass National Forest, the largest remaining temperate rainforest in the world—from one of the country's most important land, wildlife, and water conservation safeguards, the 2001 Roadless Area Conservation Rule. The Roadless Rule protects habitat for wildlife, safeguards clean water for communities, and prevents harmful development in designated areas of our national forests. Eliminating this protection would open up nearly 10 million acres of the Tongass' wild temperate rainforest to destructive logging and road building activities. On May 17, the House approved the Young amendment by a vote of 208-207 (House roll call vote 199). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE**. The House passed H.R. 2, including the Young amendment, on June 21. The H.R. 2 conference report did not include this harmful language. The president signed the H.R. 2 conference report into law on December 20.

8. ELIMINATING CLEAN WATER SAFEGUARDS

Representative Jim Banks (R-IN) offered an amendment to H.R. 2, the Agriculture and Nutrition Act of 2018, also known as the Farm Bill, which would repeal the clean water safeguards established by the 2015 Clean Water Rule. This rule protects the waterways that feed into the drinking water of over 117 million people as well as the streams, headwaters, wetlands and other water bodies that serve as habitat for wildlife, reduce flooding risk, and naturally filter pollution. The Banks amendment would subvert the rulemaking process by disregarding public input, ignore the rule's strong scientific foundation, and return Clean Water Act jurisdiction to an inconsistent and uncertain regulatory scheme. Eliminating the Clean Water Rule would disproportionately impact low-income communities and communities of color and would jeopardize the clean water families, communities, and economies depend on. On May 18, the House approved the Banks amendment by a vote of 238-173 (House roll call vote 203). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 2, including the Banks amendment, on June 21. The H.R. 2 conference report did not include this harmful language. The president signed the H.R. 2 conference report into law on December 20.

9. GUTTING ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW FOR MINING PROJECTS

Representative Mark Amodei (R-NV) offered an amendment to H.R. 5515, the John S. McCain National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 2019, which would weaken standards for mine permitting on public lands and undermine public input and environmental review under the National Environmental Policy Act. This amendment would eliminate the opportunity for communities to review, comment and raise concerns about potential mines during the permitting process. The amendment would also broadly define what minerals qualify as critical to our national security. On May 23, the House approved the Amodei amendment by a vote of 229-183 (House roll call vote 223). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 5515, including the Amodei amendment, on May 24. The H.R. 5515 conference report did not include this harmful language. The president signed the H.R. 5515 conference report into law on August 13.

10. ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL RESCISSION PACKAGE

House Majority Leader Kevin McCarthy (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 3, the Spending Cuts to Expired and Unnecessary Programs Act, also known as the rescission package, which would cut funding for several programs that protect our environment and public health. H.R. 3 would slash programs that promote needed investments in clean energy and conservation, as well as $16 million from the Land and Water Conservation Fund. Additionally, this package, which the Trump administration proposed, irresponsibly rescinds funding levels agreed upon by Congress, and much of the funding that H.R. 3 would cut has been identified for future use and could continue to support projects and communities across the country. On June 7, the House passed H.R. 3 by a vote of 210-206 (House roll call vote 243). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate rejected a motion to discharge H.R. 3 on June 20.

11. GUTTING CLEAN ENERGY FUNDING

Representative Paul Gosar (R-AZ) offered an amendment to H.R. 5895, the Energy and Water, Legislative Branch, and Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act, 2019. This amendment would eliminate funding for the Department of Energy's (DOE) Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy (ARPA-E), which would undermine clean energy innovations that lower costs, create jobs, and reduce pollution. The government is the largest source of energy research funding, and DOE research and development investments have led to breakthroughs in technology on a range of clean energy issues. On June 7, the House rejected the Gosar amendment by a vote of 123-295 (House roll call vote 246). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

12. NATIONAL OCEAN POLICY

Representative Alan Lowenthal (D-CA) offered an amendment to H.R. 5895, the Energy and Water, Legislative Branch, and Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act, 2019. This amendment would have struck a harmful policy rider prohibiting funds from being used to implement the marine planning efforts and other ecosystem-based management components of the National Ocean Policy. The National Ocean Policy directs government agencies with differing mandates to work collaboratively to improve ocean health and also ensures that all competing interests—including conservationists, fishermen, scientists, shipping companies, port managers, energy developers, and those who live and work in ocean communities—have a voice in ocean management. On June 7, the House rejected the Lowenthal amendment by a vote of 195-223 (House roll call vote 250). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

13. ASSAULT ON CLEAN ENERGY & CLEAN WATER

Representative Michael Simpson (R-ID) sponsored H.R. 5895, the Energy and Water, Legislative Branch, and Military Construction and Veterans Affairs Appropriations Act, 2019. This legislation would slash funding for clean energy programs such as the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy and the Advanced Research Projects Agency-Energy. It also contains a number of anti-environmental policy riders that simply have no place in a spending bill, including a provision repealing the Clean Water Rule, a commonsense safeguard that protects the drinking water sources of one in three people in the U.S., and a "Salmon Extinction Rider" that would jeopardize the continued existence of thirteen imperiled wild salmon and steelhead populations in the Columbia River Basin. On June 8, the House approved H.R. 5895 by a vote of 235-179 (House roll call vote 257). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The H.R. 5895 conference report rejected the cuts to clean energy and new, anti-environmental policy riders contained in the House bill. The president signed the H.R. 5895 conference report into law on September 21.

14. BORDER WALL FUNDING & ANTI-IMMIGRANT POLICY

House Judiciary Committee Chairman Bob Goodlatte (R-VA) sponsored H.R. 4760, the Securing America's Future Act, which would have funded the environmentally destructive and xenophobic border wall, failed to offer a pathway to citizenship for Dreamers, and advanced anti-immigrant policies. This bill would spend nearly $25 billion on an ineffective and damaging border wall and military technology and would waive 36 environmental and cultural laws for construction of the wall and other border enforcement activities on federal lands within 100 miles of both the northern and southern borders. H.R. 4760 also includes policies that would cause significant harm to immigrant families, including eliminating opportunities for family reunification and the diversity visa program and criminalizing millions of undocumented immigrants. On June 21, the House rejected H.R. 4760 by a vote of 193-231 (House roll call vote 282). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

15. ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL FARM BILL

House Agriculture Committee Chairman Michael Conaway (R-TX) sponsored H.R. 2, the Agriculture and Nutrition Act of 2018, also known as the Farm Bill, which included harmful riders and attacks on conservation that would undermine safeguards for our health and the environment. H.R. 2 would have jeopardized clean water by eliminating the oversight of pesticides that are applied directly to our waterways, cut around $800 million from critical conservation programs, eviscerated protections for endangered species, undercut our bedrock environmental laws like the National Environmental Policy Act, and more. With the addition of dangerous riders attacking the Tongass National Forest and the Clean Water Rule, H.R. 2 clearly placed the interests of polluting industries above those of our families and communities. On May 18, the House rejected H.R. 2, but passed the legislation on June 21 by a vote of 213-211 (House roll call vote 284). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The H.R. 2 conference report rejected the anti-environmental provisions contained in the House bill. The president signed the H.R. 2 conference report into law on December 20.

16.ATTACK ON OCEANS AND FISHERIES MANAGEMENT

Representative Don Young (R-AK) sponsored H.R. 200, the Strengthening Fishing Communities and Increasing Flexibility in Fisheries Management Act, which would reauthorize and amend the law governing U.S. fisheries—known as the Magnuson-Stevens Act. H.R. 200 would undermine decades of successful fisheries management and the science-based conservation tools that are essential in preventing overfishing, restoring depleted fish populations, and aiding coastal communities that have suffered the negative consequences of years of overfishing. This bill would weaken rebuilding requirements, establish broad loopholes in conservation efforts, decrease accountability for overfishing, and establish weaker standards for some sectors of the fishing economy, among other negative consequences for our nation's oceans and fisheries. On July 11, the House approved H.R. 200 by a vote of 222-193 (House roll call vote 321). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

17. PRIVATIZING FEDERAL INFRASTRUCTURE

Representative Doug Lamborn (R-CO) sponsored H.R. 3281, the Reclamation Title Transfer and Non-Federal Infrastructure Incentivization Act, which would fast-track privatization of federal infrastructure projects, jeopardizing critical environmental protections and harming communities, Native American tribes, fishing industries, and wildlife interests. H.R. 3281 would remove federal protections from many federal assets, limit Congress's oversight capacity on the transfer of U.S. Bureau of Reclamation facilities, eliminate opportunities for public participation under the NEPA process, undermine wildlife protections, and harm taxpayers. On July 12, the House passed H.R. 3281 by a vote of 233-184 (House roll call vote 325). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

18. ATTACK ON PUBLIC PROTECTIONS

Representative Virginia Foxx (R-NC) sponsored H.R. 50, the Unfunded Mandates Information and Transparency Act of 2017, which would make it more difficult for agencies to implement laws that are designed to protect our air and water and ensure safe food, products, and workplaces. H.R. 50 would also undermine the autonomy of independent regulatory agencies by making them subject to regulatory review by the White House's Office of Management and Budget. H.R. 50 would decrease transparency in the rulemaking process and give industry a clear advantage over public health and safety. On July 13, the House approved H.R. 50 by a vote of 230-168 (House roll call vote 328). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

19. UNDERMINING THE LAND AND WATER CONSERVATION FUND

Representative Andy Biggs (R-AZ) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would undermine the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF), America's premier conservation program. This amendment would divert LWCF funding to the national parks maintenance backlog, and thus manufactures a false choice between addressing LWCF and addressing parks maintenance, even though the two can work together to benefit our public lands. On July 18, the House rejected the Biggs amendment by a vote of 172-237 (House roll call vote 341). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

20. METHANE POLLUTION SAFEGUARDS

Representative Markwayne Mullin (R-OK) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would prevent the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) from implementing its standards to reduce methane pollution from new and modified sources in the oil and gas industry. The EPA's methane standard requires compliance with low-cost, proven safeguards that are critical to reducing methane's contributions to climate change. The climate benefits are estimated to reach $170 million by 2025 while also curbing toxic air pollutants that contribute to smog and jeopardize the health of nearby communities. On July 18, the House approved the Mullin amendment by a vote of 215-194 (House roll call vote 346). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 6147 on July 19 and the Senate passed its own version of the bill on August 1. On September 5, the House rejected a motion to instruct conferees and no further action was taken on this legislation.

21. IGNORING THE COSTS OF CLIMATE CHANGE

Representative Markwayne Mullin (R-OK) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would prevent the federal government from considering the economic costs of climate change. This amendment is harmful for families, businesses, and the economy because it ignores the public health risks and economic costs of climate change. These burdensome costs could come in the form of rising healthcare costs, destruction of property, or increased food prices. This amendment prioritizes the interests of carbon polluters over people. On July 18, the House approved the Mullin amendment by a vote of 215-199 (House roll call vote 347). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 6147 on July 19 and the Senate passed its version of the bill on August 1. On September 5, the House rejected a motion to instruct conferees and no further action was taken on this legislation.

22. UNDERMINING SAFEGUARDS FOR SAFE SEAFOOD CONSUMPTION

Representative Cathy McMorris Rodgers (R-WA) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would prevent the implementation of vital safeguards that protect communities from exposure to toxic contaminants—such as PCB, arsenic, and mercury—in the fish they eat. This action would ignore court decisions, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the voices of Native American tribes, Asian Pacific-Islander communities, and fishing interests, all of whom agree that strong standards for seafood consumption are necessary to protect public health and water quality. On July 18, the House approved the McMorris Rodgers amendment by a vote of 227-185 (House roll call vote 348). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 6147 on July 19 and the Senate passed its version of the bill on August 1. On September 5, the House rejected a motion to instruct conferees and no further action was taken on this legislation.

23. BLOCKING PROTECTIONS FOR PREBLE'S MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE

Representative Doug Lamborn (R-CO) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would block federal funding for the threatened Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). By blocking funding, this amendment attacks recovery efforts for this western species, which has suffered habitat loss and fragmentation throughout its range. Funded recovery programs require a Habitat Conservation Plan, which is a crucial aspect of species recovery under the ESA. Overall, this amendment is an attack on the ESA that sets a dangerous precedent of inserting political considerations in what should be a science-based process. On July 18, the House approved the Lamborn amendment by a vote of 213-201 (House roll call vote 350). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 6147 on July 19 and the Senate passed its version of the bill on August 1. On September 5, the House rejected a motion to instruct conferees and no further action was taken on this legislation.

24. UNDERMINING PROTECTIONS FOR THE CHESAPEAKE BAY

House Judiciary Committee Chairman Bob Goodlatte (R-VA) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would undermine the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) ability to protect and improve the health of the 64,000-square mile Chesapeake Bay watershed, which covers six states and the District of Columbia. The EPA's authority to penalize states that fail to uphold their pollution reduction commitments is critical to ensuring the success of this historic federal-state partnership that is restoring the Chesapeake Bay and protecting the treasured estuary that over 18 million residents rely on. On July 18, the House approved the Goodlatte amendment by a vote of 213-202 (House roll call vote 351). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 6147 on July 19 and the Senate passed its version of the bill on August 1. On September 5, the House rejected a motion to instruct conferees and no further action was taken on this legislation.

25. BLOCKING PROTECTIONS FOR THE NEW MEXICO MEADOW JUMPING MOUSE

Representative Steve Pearce (R-NM) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would block federal funding for the endangered New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). By blocking funding, this amendment attacks recovery efforts for this rare southwestern subspecies, which has suffered a significant disappearance due to habitat loss and fragmentation throughout its range. Funded recovery programs require a Habitat Conservation Plans, a crucial aspect of the species recovery under the ESA. Overall, this amendment is an attack on the ESA that sets a dangerous precedent of inserting political considerations in what should be a science-based process. On July 18, the House rejected the Pearce amendment by a vote of 206-209 (House roll call vote 353). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

26. BLOCKING PROTECTIONS FOR THE LESSER PRAIRIE CHICKEN

Representative Steve Pearce (R-NM) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would prevent the Fish and Wildlife Service from listing the lesser prairie chicken for protections under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). Blocking the Fish and Wildlife Service from listing the lesser prairie chicken would interfere with the science-based process used to determine the need of a species' protection. Overall, this amendment is an attack on the ESA that sets a dangerous precedent of blocking the potential listing of a species that wildlife experts may deem necessary. On July 18, the House approved the Pearce amendment by a vote of 216-199 (House roll call vote 354). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 6147 on July 19 and the Senate passed its version of the bill on August 1. On September 5, the House rejected a motion to instruct conferees and no further action was taken on this legislation.

27. ATTACK ON IRONWOOD FOREST NATIONAL MONUMENT

Representative Paul Gosar (R-AZ) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would open the Ironwood Forest National Monument to mining and drilling and prevent the Interior Department from properly protecting the land. This amendment threatens the forests, rare wildlife, archeological sites, and other aspects of the monument, despite the wishes of local stakeholders. On July 18, the House rejected the Gosar amendment by a vote of 193-220 (House roll call vote 355). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

28. ELIMINATING ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE GRANTS

Representative Jody Hice (R-GA) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which would prohibit the Office of Environmental Justice at the EPA from using funds for the Environmental Justice Small Grants Program. The Office of Environmental Justice informs agency actions and its impact on or benefit toward communities that are disproportionately affected by environmental degradation. The Office of Environmental Justice and the Environmental Justice Small Grants Program help to ensure that agency carries out its mission of equitably protecting public health and the environment. On July 18, the House rejected the Hice amendment by a vote of 174-240 (House roll call vote 356). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

29. UNDERMINING ACCESS TO THE COURTS

Representative Jason Smith (R-MO) offered an amendment to H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which limits the recovery of attorneys' fees in citizen suits under the Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, and the Endangered Species Act. Specifically, the amendment prohibits the recovery of legal fees for settlements in cases against the federal government. This change would remove critical incentives for citizen suit provisions and especially harm low-income communities that seek legal representation to enforce these environmental laws. The proposed changes also disincentivize the quick resolution of citizen suits, increasing litigation costs for both plaintiffs and the government. On July 18, the House approved the Smith amendment by a vote of 215-199 (House roll call vote 357). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The House passed H.R. 6147 on July 19 and the Senate passed its version of the bill on August 1. On September 5, the House rejected a motion to instruct conferees and no further action was taken on this legislation.

30. ANTI-CLIMATE SOLUTIONS RESOLUTION

Representative Steve Scalise (R-LA) sponsored H.Con.Res. 119, which expresses the sense of Congress that a carbon tax would be detrimental to the U.S. economy. This resolution ignores the huge costs that unchecked climate change is already having on our families, our communities and our economy, costs that fall disproportionately on low-income communities and communities of color. This resolution seeks to stifle exploration of potential climate solutions and to further the interests of polluting fossil fuel companies. On July 19, the House passed H.Con.Res. 119 by a vote of 229-180 (House roll call vote 363). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

31. ANTI-ENVIRONMENTAL SPENDING BILL

Representative Ken Calvert (R-CA) sponsored H.R. 6147, the Department of the Interior, Environment, and Related Agencies Appropriations Act, 2019, which deeply cuts funding for the Environmental Protection Agency and includes numerous anti-environmental policy riders. This bill also contains cuts to essential conservation efforts carried out by the Department of the Interior, including a $65 million cut to the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF), our nation's best parks program. The poison pill riders include provisions repealing the Clean Water Rule, which protects the drinking water of one in three people in this country, as well as direct attacks on protections for wildlife such as the greater sage-grouse. On July 19, the House approved H.R. 6147 by a vote of 217-199 (House roll call vote 365). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate passed its version of H.R. 6147 on August 1. On September 5, the House rejected a motion to instruct conferees and no further action was taken on this legislation.

32. MINIMIZING METHANE POLLUTION

Representative Diana DeGette (D-CO) offered an amendment to H.R. 4606, the Ensuring Small Scale LNG Certainty and Access Act, which would require liquefied natural gas export applications to demonstrate that the natural gas was produced in a manner that minimized dangerous methane emissions. These emissions threaten public health and the environment, and low-cost technologies to reduce methane pollution are readily available and would save taxpayer resources. On September 6, the House rejected the DeGette amendment by a vote of 195-210 (House roll call vote 390). **YES IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.**

33. UNDERMINING NEPA REVIEW IN LIQUEFIED NATURAL GAS PROJECTS

Representative Bill Johnson (R-OH) sponsored H.R. 4606, the Ensuring Small Scale LNG Certainty and Access Act, which would jeopardize the health of our air, water, climate, and communities by incentivizing more oil and natural gas drilling. This bill would declare that all low-volume natural gas exports or imports are in the public interest and would undermine the National Environmental Policy Act process, reducing the ability of communities directly impacted by these liquified natural gas projects to have a meaningful voice in the review process. On September 6, the House approved H.R. 4606 by a vote of 260-146 (House roll call vote 392). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

34. BLOCKING PROTECTIONS FOR GRAY WOLVES

Representative Sean Duffy (R-WI) sponsored H.R. 6784, the Manage Our Wolves Act, which would undermine both the scientific and legal framework of the Endangered Species Act (ESA) by legislatively removing ESA protections for nearly all gray wolves across the continental United States and preventing judicial review of these delisting actions. Additionally, H.R. 6784 would codify a 2017 D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals decision to delist gray wolves in Wyoming, while at the same time overturning a unanimous decision by the same court, which upheld protections for gray wolves in Michigan, Minnesota, and Wisconsin. On November 16, the House approved H.R. 6784 by a vote of 196-180 (House roll call vote 420). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

35. BORDER WALL FUNDING

House Appropriations Committee Chairman Rodney Frelinghuysen (R-NJ) sponsored an amendment to H.R. 695, which would have provided $5.7 billion to construct the environmentally damaging and xenophobic border wall. The border wall threatens communities living along our southern border, has far ranging negative impacts on our lands, wildlife and waterways, and circumvents bedrock environmental laws. This amendment also included a short-term continuing resolution to fund the government through February 8 and emergency disaster relief funding. On December 20, the House approved the Frelinghuysen amendment by a vote of 217-185 (House roll call vote 472). **NO IS THE PRO-ENVIRONMENT VOTE.** The Senate took no action on this legislation.

JUSTICE
is worth fighting for
#SaveSCOTUS
LCV
1973

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	❶ Delaying Public Health Protections	❷ Undermining Clean Air Standards	❸ Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	❹ Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	❺ Nuclear Storage	❻ Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	❼ Attack on Alaska's National Forests	❽ Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	❾ Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	❿ Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	⓫ Gutting Clean Energy Funding	⓬ National Ocean Policy	⓭ Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
ALABAMA																		
1	Byrne	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Roby	R	6	3	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Rogers, M.	R	3	1	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
4	Aderholt	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
5	Brooks, M.	R	20	13	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
6	Palmer	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Sewell*	D	71	81	79	✖	✔	?	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	?	?	?
ALASKA																		
AL	Young, Don	R	6	3	8	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
ARIZONA																		
1	O'Halleran	D	83	87	87	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
2	McSally	R	9	10	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Grijalva	D	94	97	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	Gosar	R	6	3	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
5	Biggs	R	11	9	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
6	Schweikert	R	3	1	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
7	Gallego	D	100	96	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
8	Lesko**	R	0	N/A	0	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
9	Sinema†	D	74	77	77	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
ARKANSAS																		
1	Crawford	R	6	3	5	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
2	Hill	R	6	4	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Womack	R	6	3	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	Westerman	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖

* Representative Sewell entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 153, 243, 246, 250, 257 and 328, which all would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Lesko was sworn in on May 7, 2018.
† Representative Sinema entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 346, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
CALIFORNIA																		
1	LaMalfa	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
2	Huffman*	D	91	96	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?
3	Garamendi	D	91	93	90	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
4	McClintock	R	6	3	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
5	Thompson, M.	D	100	97	93	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Matsui	D	94	97	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
7	Bera	D	91	96	93	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
8	Cook	R	0	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
9	McNerney	D	91	94	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	Denham	R	9	7	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
11	DeSaulnier	D	100	100	99	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
12	Pelosi	D	100	97	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
13	Lee, B.	D	100	100	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
14	Speier**	D	N/A	N/A	91	✔	✔	✔	?	?	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
15	Swalwell†	D	89	93	95	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
16	Costa	D	69	50	49	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✖
17	Khanna	D	100	99	99	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
18	Eshoo‡	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
19	Lofgren	D	97	99	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
20	Panetta	D	94	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
21	Valadao	R	11	9	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
22	Nunes	R	3	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
23	McCarthy	R	3	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖

* Representative Huffman entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 257, which would have been scored as pro-environment, and missed roll call votes 390 and 392 due to a family matter.
** Representative Speier entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 203, which would have been scored as pro-environment. She missed a number of votes due to recovery from a medical procedure.
† Representative Swalwell entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 420, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
‡ Representative Eshoo entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 390 and 392, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?
✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
24	Carbajal*	D	83	91	91	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	?	?	✖
25	Knight	R	11	10	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
26	Brownley**	D	89	94	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
27	Chu	D	97	99	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
28	Schiff	D	97	99	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
29	Cárdenas	D	49	73	84	?	?	?	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
30	Sherman	D	97	99	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
31	Aguilar†	D	91	96	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
32	Napolitano‡	D	94	86	90	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
33	Lieu	D	89	90	90	?	?	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
34	Gomez	D	97	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
35	Torres	D	94	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
36	Ruiz	D	91	96	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
37	Bass	D	66	81	87	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
38	Sánchez	D	97	99	93	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
39	Royce	R	9	9	11	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
40	Roybal-Allard	D	100	97	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
41	Takano	D	97	99	99	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
42	Calvert	R	6	6	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
43	Waters, Maxine	D	94	94	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
44	Barragán	D	94	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
45	Walters, Mimi	R	0	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	?	?	?
46	Correa	D	91	91	91	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

* Representative Carbajal entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 243, 246, and 250, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Brownley missed roll call vote 420 dealing with the devastating impacts of wildfires in California.
† Representative Aguilar entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 346, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
‡ Representative Napolitano entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 321, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	?	?	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
47	Lowenthal*	D	94	96	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
48	Rohrabacher	R	11	10	10	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖
49	Issa	R	3	6	4	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
50	Hunter	R	0	0	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
51	Vargas	D	91	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	?	?	✔
52	Peters, S.**	D	86	91	92	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
53	Davis, S.	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
COLORADO																		
1	DeGette	D	91	90	96	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Polis†	D	66	83	89	?	?	✔	✔	✔	?	?	?	✔	?	?	?	?
3	Tipton	R	9	7	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	Buck	R	6	6	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
5	Lamborn	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
6	Coffman	R	20	13	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
7	Perlmutter‡	D	89	94	86	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
CONNECTICUT																		
1	Larson, J.	D	94	96	93	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Courtney	D	91	94	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
3	DeLauro§	D	91	84	94	✔	?	✔	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	Himes	D	91	96	95	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Esty	D	94	97	98	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
DELAWARE																		
AL	Blunt Rochester	D	94	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

* Representative Lowenthal entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 472, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Peters entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 346, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
† Representative Polis entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 99, 101, 243 and 257, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
‡ Representative Perlmutter entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 321, 325 and 328, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
§ Representative DeLauro entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 101, 178 and 179, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

FLORIDA

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
1	Gaetz	R	9	7	7	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
2	Dunn	R	0	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Yoho	R	0	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
4	Rutherford	R	6	4	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
5	Lawson*	D	89	79	79	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
6	DeSantis**	R	0	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Murphy	D	83	87	87	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
8	Posey	R	0	0	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
9	Soto	D	100	100	100	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	Demings	D	94	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
11	Webster	R	0	1	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
12	Bilirakis	R	6	3	8	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
13	Crist	D	94	89	89	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
14	Castor	D	91	89	93	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔
15	Ross	R	3	1	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
16	Buchanan	R	40	24	22	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✖
17	Rooney, T.	R	6	6	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
18	Mast	R	29	26	26	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✖
19	Rooney, F.	R	20	10	10	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖
20	Hastings	D	94	94	84	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
21	Frankel	D	100	97	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
22	Deutch	D	94	91	91	✔	✔	✔	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
23	Wasserman Schultz	D	100	93	93	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
24	Wilson, F.	D	97	91	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
25	Diaz-Balart	R	17	11	11	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
26	Curbelo	R	57	40	39	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✖	?	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖

* Representative Lawson entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 363, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative DeSantis resigned on September 10, 2018.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	?	?	ⓘ	ⓘ
✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	?	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
27	Ros-Lehtinen	R	57	40	34	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
GEORGIA																		
1	Carter, E.L.	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Bishop, S.	D	63	66	51	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔
3	Ferguson	R	6	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	Johnson, H.	D	100	99	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Lewis, John	D	97	99	93	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Handel	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Woodall	R	3	3	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
8	Scott, A.	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
9	Collins, D.	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
10	Hice	R	0	0	0	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
11	Loudermilk	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
12	Allen	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
13	Scott, D.	D	86	87	82	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
14	Graves, T.	R	0	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
HAWAII																		
1	Hanabusa	D	46	71	83	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Gabbard*	D	94	93	96	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
IDAHO																		
1	Labrador	R	6	3	4	✖	✖	?	?	?	?	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
2	Simpson	R	14	11	8	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
ILLINOIS																		
1	Rush	D	91	80	79	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Kelly, R.	D	97	99	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
3	Lipinski	D	91	96	90	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	Gutiérrez	D	91	94	91	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

* Representative Gabbard entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 420, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	?	?	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
5	Quigley	D	97	97	98	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Roskam	R	20	11	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
7	Davis, D.	D	97	96	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
8	Krishnamoorthi	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
9	Schakowsky	D	100	100	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	Schneider	D	91	96	93	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
11	Foster	D	94	97	93	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
12	Bost	R	3	4	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
13	Davis, R.	R	6	7	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
14	Hultgren	R	3	1	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
15	Shimkus	R	6	6	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✔	✖	✖
16	Kinzinger	R	3	4	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
17	Bustos	D	91	93	88	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
18	LaHood	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
INDIANA																		
1	Visclosky	D	94	97	82	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Walorski	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖
3	Banks	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
4	Rokita	R	0	3	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
5	Brooks, S.	R	6	7	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
6	Messer	R	0	1	1	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Carson	D	94	93	93	✔	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
8	Bucshon	R	3	4	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
9	Hollingsworth	R	11	9	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
IOWA				0														
1	Blum	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Loebsack	D	94	94	90	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
3	Young, David	R	3	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	King, S.	R	9	4	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
KANSAS																		
1	Marshall	R	6	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
2	Jenkins, L.	R	0	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Yoder	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖
4	Estes	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
KENTUCKY																		
1	Comer	R	0	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Guthrie	R	0	0	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Yarmuth	D	91	96	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	Massie	R	17	17	12	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
5	Rogers, H.	R	6	6	8	✖	✖	✖	?	?	?	?	?	?	✖	✔	✖	✖
6	Barr	R	0	0	1	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
LOUISIANA																		
1	Scalise	R	0	N/A	3	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Richmond	D	46	66	74	✔	?	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔
3	Higgins, C.	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖
4	Johnson, M.	R	6	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
5	Abraham	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
6	Graves, G.	R	0	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
MAINE																		
1	Pingree	D	94	97	96	?	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Poliquin	R	14	17	16	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖
MARYLAND																		
1	Harris, A.	R	3	4	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Ruppersberger	D	94	97	88	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✗	✓	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
3	Sarbanes	D	94	97	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	Brown, A.*	D	83	91	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	?	?	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Hoyer**	D	63	80	81	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Delaney	D	91	96	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔
7	Cummings	D	89	76	92	?	?	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
8	Raskin	D	97	99	99	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
MASSACHUSETTS																		
1	Neal	D	89	94	92	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	McGovern	D	100	100	99	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
3	Tsongas	D	86	89	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	?
4	Kennedy, Joseph P.	D	91	93	95	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?
5	Clark, K.	D	94	91	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Moulton†	D	89	94	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
7	Capuano	D	86	93	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
8	Lynch	D	86	93	95	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
9	Keating	D	89	94	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
MICHIGAN																		
1	Bergman	R	9	7	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
2	Huizenga	R	0	0	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Amash	R	37	30	18	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
4	Moolenaar	R	6	6	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
5	Kildee	D	94	94	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Upton	R	40	27	26	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
7	Walberg	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
8	Bishop, M.	R	3	4	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
9	Levin	D	94	97	92	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	Mitchell	R	3	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖

* Representative Brown entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 198, 199 and 203, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Hoyer missed roll call votes 328, 346, 347, 348, 350, 351, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357 due to recovery from an illness.
† Representative Moulton entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 325, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?
✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
11	Trott	R	9	6	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✔	✖	✖
12	Dingell	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
13	Jones*	D	100	N/A	100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
14	Lawrence	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
MINNESOTA																			
1	Walz**	D	14	56	75	✔	?	✔	✖	✖	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
2	Lewis, Jason	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Paulsen	R	34	24	18	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	McCollum	D	97	99	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Ellison	D	74	87	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Emmer	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Peterson	D	20	17	31	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
8	Nolan	D	77	83	83	?	?	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
MISSISSIPPI																			
1	Kelly, T.	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Thompson, B.	D	86	90	82	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
3	Harper	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	Palazzo†	R	0	0	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	?	?	?
MISSOURI																			
1	Clay	D	86	90	89	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	?	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Wagner	R	0	0	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Luetkemeyer	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
4	Hartzler	R	0	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
5	Cleaver	D	97	93	88	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Graves, S.	R	0	0	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Long	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
8	Smith, J.	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖

* Representative Jones was sworn in on November 29, 2018.
** Representative Walz entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 101, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
† Representative Palazzo entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 392, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	?	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	?	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	?	?	?	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	?
✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
MONTANA																		
AL	Gianforte	R	3	6	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
NEBRASKA																		
1	Fortenberry	R	20	19	18	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖
2	Bacon	R	6	6	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Smith, Adrian	R	3	1	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
NEVADA																		
1	Titus*	D	89	94	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Amodei	R	14	10	5	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Rosen	D	94	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	Kihuen	D	97	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔
NEW HAMPSHIRE																		
1	Shea-Porter**	D	89	94	95	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Kuster†	D	89	91	94	✔	✔	?	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
NEW JERSEY																		
1	Norcross	D	86	89	92	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	LoBiondo	R	51	49	56	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	MacArthur	R	23	23	16	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
4	Smith, C.	R	60	54	61	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
5	Gottheimer	D	80	81	81	✔	✔	✔	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
6	Pallone	D	91	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
7	Lance	R	60	47	27	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
8	Sires	D	89	93	91	✔	✔	?	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
9	Pascrell‡	D	91	94	93	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	Payne§	D	91	94	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

* Representative Titus entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 390 and 392, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Shea-Porter entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 472, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
† Representative Kuster entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 153, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
‡ Representative Pascrell entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 420, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
§ Representative Payne entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 282 and 284, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗
✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	?	✓
✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
11	Frelinghuysen	R	17	13	30	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
12	Watson Coleman	D	94	91	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
NEW MEXICO																		
1	Lujan Grisham, M.	D	91	96	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Pearce	R	0	1	4	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Luján, B.R.	D	97	99	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
NEW YORK																		
1	Zeldin	R	9	9	10	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✔	✖	✖
2	King, P.	R	29	20	17	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Suozzi	D	97	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	Rice, K.*	D	91	96	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Meeks	D	100	94	89	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Meng	D	100	100	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
7	Velázquez	D	100	100	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
8	Jeffries**	D	91	94	95	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
9	Clarke, Y.	D	100	99	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	Nadler	D	100	100	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
11	Donovan	R	26	21	19	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✔	✖	✖
12	Maloney, C.	D	97	97	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
13	Espaillat	D	100	99	99	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
14	Crowley†	D	86	93	94	✔	✔	✔	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
15	Serrano	D	100	99	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
16	Engel	D	100	99	93	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
17	Lowey	D	91	96	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
18	Maloney, S.P.	D	89	91	88	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
19	Faso	R	37	36	36	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖

* Representative Rice entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 346, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Jeffries entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 282 and 284, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
† Representative Crowley entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 178, 179 and 363, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✗	✓	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	?	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
20	Tonko	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
21	Stefanik	R	51	47	33	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✖
22	Tenney	R	6	6	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
23	Reed, T.	R	17	14	8	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
24	Katko	R	43	34	27	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✖
25	Morelle*	D	100	N/A	100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
25	Slaughter*	D	N/A	N/A	91	?	?	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
26	Higgins, B.	D	91	96	95	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
27	Collins, C.	R	9	6	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
NORTH CAROLINA																		
1	Butterfield	D	91	91	89	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Holding	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Jones	R	14	23	22	✖	✖	✖	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
4	Price	D	94	97	91	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Foxx	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
6	Walker	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Rouzer	R	3	3	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
8	Hudson	R	0	0	1	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
9	Pittenger	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
10	McHenry	R	9	4	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
11	Meadows	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
12	Adams	D	94	97	98	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
13	Budd	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	✖	?	?	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
NORTH DAKOTA																		
AL	Cramer	R	3	1	1	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖

* Representative Morelle was sworn in on November 13, 2018 following the death of Representative Slaughter on March 16, 2018.

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	✓
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✓	✗	✓	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✓	?	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	❶ Delaying Public Health Protections	❷ Undermining Clean Air Standards	❸ Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	❹ Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	❺ Nuclear Storage	❻ Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	❼ Attack on Alaska's National Forests	❽ Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	❾ Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	❿ Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	⓫ Gutting Clean Energy Funding	⓬ National Ocean Policy	⓭ Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
OHIO																		
1	Chabot	R	3	1	12	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Wenstrup	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Beatty*	D	83	91	95	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	?	?	?
4	Jordan	R	3	1	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
5	Latta	R	0	0	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
6	Johnson, B.	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Gibbs	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
8	Davidson	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
9	Kaptur	D	94	96	83	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	Turner	R	14	10	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
11	Fudge	D	89	94	93	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
12	Balderson**	R	0	N/A	0	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
13	Ryan, T.	D	94	94	92	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
14	Joyce	R	14	13	8	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
15	Stivers	R	11	7	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✔	✖	✖
16	Renacci	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
OKLAHOMA																		
1	Bridenstine†	R	N/A	N/A	3	?	?	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
1	Hern‡	R	0	N/A	0	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
2	Mullin	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Lucas	R	6	6	5	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	Cole	R	9	9	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
5	Russell	R	6	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖

* Representative Beatty entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call votes 243, 246, 250 and 257, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Balderson was sworn into office on September 5, 2018.
† Representative Bridenstine resigned on April 23, 2018 to serve as Administrator of the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).
‡ Representative Hern was sworn in on November 13, 2018.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓	✓	✓
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	?	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗

ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
OREGON																		
1	Bonamici	D	97	99	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Walden	R	6	7	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Blumenauer	D	97	99	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	DeFazio	D	97	99	92	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Schrader	D	63	68	70	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
PENNSYLVANIA																		
1	Brady, R.	D	86	89	86	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Evans	D	94	94	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
3	Kelly, M.	R	6	3	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
4	Perry*	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
5	Thompson, G.	R	3	4	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
6	Costello	R	43	40	34	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✔	✖	✖	✔	✖	?
7	Meehan**	R	0	N/A	16	✖	✖	✖	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
7	Scanlon†	D	100	N/A	100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
8	Fitzpatrick	R	83	77	77	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
9	Shuster	R	3	1	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖
10	Marino	R	6	4	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
11	Barletta	R	6	4	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
12	Rothfus	R	6	4	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖
13	Boyle‡	D	91	93	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	?	?	?	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
14	Doyle	D	91	94	78	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
15	Dent§	R	0	3	17	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
15	Wild#	D	100	N/A	100	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
16	Smucker	R	3	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖

* Representative Perry entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call vote 284, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Meehan resigned on April 27, 2018.
† Representative Scanlon was sworn in on November 13, 2018.
‡ Representative Boyle entered statements into the Congressional Record noting how he would have voted on roll call votes 198, 199 and 203, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
§ Representative Dent resigned on May 12, 2018.
# Representative Wild was sworn in on November 15, 2018.

Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy 14	Anti-Environmental Farm Bill 15	Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management 16	Privatizing Federal Infrastructure 17	Attack on Public Protections 18	Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund 19	Methane Pollution Safeguards 20	Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change 21	Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption 22	Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse 23	Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay 24	Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse 25	Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken 26	Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument 27	Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants 28	Undermining Access to the Courts 29	Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution 30	Anti-Environmental Spending Bill 31	Minimizing Methane Pollution 32	Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects 33	Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves 34	Border Wall Funding 35
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	?	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	?	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
17	Cartwright	D	91	94	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
18	Lamb*	D	82	N/A	82	ⓘ	ⓘ	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
RHODE ISLAND																		
1	Cicilline	D	100	100	98	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Langevin	D	94	97	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
SOUTH CAROLINA																		
1	Sanford	R	40	37	28	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
2	Wilson, J.	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
3	Duncan, Jeff	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
4	Gowdy	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
5	Norman	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
6	Clyburn	D	94	89	85	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
7	Rice, T.	R	0	1	1	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
SOUTH DAKOTA																		
AL	Noem	R	3	1	4	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	?	?	?
TENNESSEE																		
1	Roe	R	3	3	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
2	Duncan, John	R	9	9	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
3	Fleischmann	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	DesJarlais	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
5	Cooper	D	86	93	82	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
6	Black, D.	R	3	1	3	✖	?	?	?	?	✖	✖	✖	?	✖	✔	✖	✖
7	Blackburn	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
8	Kustoff	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
9	Cohen	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
TEXAS																		
1	Gohmert	R	3	3	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖

* Representative Lamb was sworn in on April 12, 2018.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
✗	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	?	?
✗	✗	?	✗	✗	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	?	✗	✗	?	?	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES															
			2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
2	Poe	R	0	0	3	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Johnson, S.	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
4	Ratcliffe	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
5	Hensarling	R	0	0	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
6	Barton	R	6	6	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
7	Culberson	R	6	4	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
8	Brady, K.	R	3	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	?
9	Green, A.	D	97	99	85	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	McCaul	R	3	1	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
11	Conaway	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
12	Granger	R	6	3	5	✖	✖	✖	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
13	Thornberry	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
14	Weber	R	6	3	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
15	Gonzalez	D	74	73	73	✔	✖	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
16	O'Rourke	D	94	97	95	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
17	Flores	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
18	Jackson Lee	D	97	96	81	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
19	Arrington	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
20	Castro	D	97	99	96	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
21	Smith, L.	R	3	1	6	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
22	Olson	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
23	Hurd	R	20	11	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
24	Marchant	R	3	1	3	✖	✖	✖	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
25	Williams	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
26	Burgess	R	0	0	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
27	Cloud*	R	0	N/A	0	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ
27	Farenthold**	R	0	N/A	3	✖	✖	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ

* Representative Cloud was sworn in on July 10, 2018.
** Representative Farenthold resigned on April 6, 2018.

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ⓘ	ⓘ	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ	ⓘ

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES 2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	1 Delaying Public Health Protections	2 Undermining Clean Air Standards	3 Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	4 Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	5 Nuclear Storage	6 Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	7 Attack on Alaska's National Forests	8 Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	9 Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	10 Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	11 Gutting Clean Energy Funding	12 National Ocean Policy	13 Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
28	Cuellar	D	49	41	42	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
29	Green, G.	D	83	83	67	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
30	Johnson, E.B.	D	94	94	86	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
31	Carter, J.	R	6	3	4	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
32	Sessions	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
33	Veasey	D	89	90	88	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
34	Vela	D	80	80	74	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
35	Doggett	D	100	99	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
36	Babin	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
UTAH																		
1	Bishop, R.	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Stewart	R	3	1	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Curtis	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
4	Love	R	17	10	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
VERMONT																		
AL	Welch	D	94	96	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
VIRGINIA																		
1	Wittman	R	6	4	11	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
2	Taylor	R	9	7	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Scott, R.	D	94	97	91	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	McEachin*	D	86	87	87	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Garrett	R	9	6	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
6	Goodlatte	R	0	0	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Brat	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
8	Beyer	D	86	93	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	?	?	✔	?	✔	✔	✔	✔
9	Griffith	R	3	1	5	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
10	Comstock	R	14	11	7	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
11	Connolly	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔

* Representative McEachin missed a number of votes due to a medical procedure.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	?	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	?	✓	✓
✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓

HOUSE VOTES

KEY

✔ = Pro-environment action
✖ = Anti-environment action
ⓘ = Ineligible to vote
? = Absence (counts as negative)

			LCV SCORES																
			2018 %	115th Congress %	Lifetime %	❶ Delaying Public Health Protections	❷ Undermining Clean Air Standards	❸ Assault on Endangered Salmon and Environmental Review	❹ Local and Tribal Government Consent on Nuclear Waste Transportation	❺ Nuclear Storage	❻ Undermining Environmental Review for Forestry Projects	❼ Attack on Alaska's National Forests	❽ Eliminating Clean Water Safeguards	❾ Gutting Environmental Review for Mining Projects	❿ Anti-Environmental Rescission Package	⓫ Gutting Clean Energy Funding	⓬ National Ocean Policy	⓭ Assault on Clean Energy & Clean Water
WASHINGTON																			
1	DelBene	D	94	96	95	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
2	Larsen, R.	D	89	90	91	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖
3	Herrera Beutler	R	11	10	9	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
4	Newhouse	R	6	3	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
5	McMorris Rodgers	R	6	3	3	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
6	Kilmer	D	94	97	94	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
7	Jayapal*	D	94	96	96	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
8	Reichert	R	26	27	35	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
9	Smith, Adam	D	100	99	91	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
10	Heck	D	94	97	97	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
WEST VIRGINIA																			
1	McKinley	R	11	6	6	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✔	✖	✖
2	Mooney	R	0	0	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
3	Jenkins, E.**	R	9	5	4	✖	✖	?	?	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔	✖	✖
WISCONSIN																			
1	Ryan, P.	R	N/A	N/A	11	THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HIS DISCRETION.													
2	Pocan	D	100	94	97	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
3	Kind	D	89	91	89	✔	✔	✔	✖	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
4	Moore†	D	94	97	94	✔	✔	✔	✔	✖	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔	✔
5	Sensenbrenner	R	6	6	25	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✔
6	Grothman	R	3	1	1	✖	✖	?	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
7	Duffy	R	0	0	2	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
8	Gallagher	R	0	1	1	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖
WYOMING																			
AL	Cheney	R	0	0	0	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	✖	?

* Representative Jayapal entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 420, which would have been scored as pro-environment.
** Representative Jenkins resigned on September 30, 2018 to serve as Justice of the Supreme Court of Appeals of West Virginia.
† Representative Moore entered a statement into the Congressional Record noting how she would have voted on roll call vote 328, which would have been scored as pro-environment.

HOUSE VOTES

14 Border Wall Funding & Anti-Immigrant Policy	15 Anti-Environmental Farm Bill	16 Attack on Oceans and Fisheries Management	17 Privatizing Federal Infrastructure	18 Attack on Public Protections	19 Undermining the Land & Water Conservation Fund	20 Methane Pollution Safeguards	21 Ignoring the Costs of Climate Change	22 Undermining Safeguards for Safe Seafood Consumption	23 Blocking Protections for Preble's Meadow Jumping Mouse	24 Undermining Protections for the Chesapeake Bay	25 Blocking Protections for New Mexico Meadow Jumping Mouse	26 Blocking Protections for the Lesser Prairie Chicken	27 Attack on Ironwood Forest National Monument	28 Eliminating Environmental Justice Grants	29 Undermining Access to the Courts	30 Anti-Climate Solutions Resolution	31 Anti-Environmental Spending Bill	32 Minimizing Methane Pollution	33 Undermining NEPA Review in Liquefied Natural Gas Projects	34 Blocking Protections for Gray Wolves	35 Border Wall Funding
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓
✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	?	✓	✗	✗	✓	✗	✓	✗	✓	✓	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	?	?	ⓘ	ⓘ
THE SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE VOTES AT HIS DISCRETION.																					
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✗	?
✓	✓	✓	✓	?	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	✓
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	?	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✓	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
✗	✗	?	?	?	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

ADD MY VOICE TO AMERICA'S ENVIRONMENTAL MAJORITY

- Please visit **scorecard.lcv.org** to view the *National Environmental Scorecard* electronically, share it with friends and family, and learn more about how you can join with other environmental activists around the country who are making their voices heard from the statehouse to the White House.
- To make an additional contribution to LCV to support our efforts to turn your environmental values into national priorities, please use the enclosed envelope or visit **lcv.org/donate**.
- Sign up for LCV's email updates at **lcv.org** and join LCV's mobile action network by texting "LCV" to 877-877.
- Take action on a wide array of pressing environmental issues at **lcv.org/act.**

THANK YOU FOR BEING THE VOICE FOR THE ENVIRONMENT.

LEAGUE OF CONSERVATION VOTERS

Our Earth Is Worth Fighting For

740 15TH STREET NW, SUITE 700 | WASHINGTON, DC 20005

PHONE: 202.785.8683 | LCV.ORG

instagram.com/LCVoters

facebook.com/LCVoters

twitter.com/LCVoters

youtube.com/lcv2008

This publication was designed and printed using 100% wind power and was printed on an alcohol-free press with soy-based inks on 100% recycled stock.

SCORECARD.LCV.ORG